

Konstantin Igudesman

STATISTICALLY SELF-SIMILAR FRACTAL SETS

In the present paper we define statistically self-similar sets, and, using a modification of method described in [2], [3], find a Hausdorff dimension of a statistically self-similar set.

1 Hausdorff measure, dimention and metric

This section contains definitions and results we require (see [2] for more details).

If U is a non-empty subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, the diameter of U is

$$|U| = \sup\{|x - y| : x, y \in U\}.$$

If $E \subset \bigcup_i U_i$, and $0 < |U_i| \leq \delta$ for each i , then $\{U_i\}$ is a δ -cover of E . Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and $s \geq 0$. For $\delta > 0$, we define the measure

$$\mathcal{H}_\delta^s(E) = \inf \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |U_i|^s,$$

where infimum is taken over all countable δ -covers $\{U_i\}$ of E .

The Hausdorff s -dimensional measure of E is

$$\mathcal{H}^s(E) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}_\delta^s(E).$$

The limit exists, but can be infinite, since $\mathcal{H}_\delta^s$ increases as δ decreases.

The σ -field of $\mathcal{H}^s$ -measureable sets includes the Borel sets. Moreover, for any $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ there is a unique $s \in [0, n]$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}^t(E) = \begin{cases} \infty, & t < s \\ 0, & t > s \end{cases}.$$

The number s is called the Hausdorff dimension (or fractal dimension) of E and is denoted by $\dim(E)$.

If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\delta \geq 0$, the δ -parallel body of E is the closed set

$$[E]_\delta = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \inf_{y \in E} |x - y| \leq \delta\}.$$

Let Γ be a collection of non-empty compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. The Hausdorff metric d on Γ is

$$d(E, F) = \inf\{\delta : E \subset [F]_\delta, F \subset [E]_\delta\}.$$

It is known that Γ endowed with the Hausdorff metric d is a complete metric space .

2 Statistically self-similar sets

In this section we construct a statistically self-similar set and find its Hausdorff dimension. The collection of statistically self-similar sets contains the well-known self-similar sets.

A mapping $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a similitude if $|\psi(x) - \psi(y)| = r|x - y|$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $r < 1$. Clearly, any similitude is a continuous mapping.

Let $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^m$ be a set of similitudes with ratios $\{r_j\}_{j=1}^m$. We set $r = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} r_j$. Let $\mathcal{N}_k \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ be a non-empty set, for any positive integer k (if $\mathcal{N}_k = \{1, \dots, m\}$ for all k , then the following construction gives a self-similar set). We set $\mathcal{L}^k = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{N}_i$, $\mathcal{L}_p^k = \prod_{i=p}^k \mathcal{N}_i$ ($p \leq k$). For any sequence $(j_1 \dots j_k) \in \mathcal{L}^k$ and any set F we set $F_{j_1 \dots j_k} = (\psi_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{j_k})(F)$. Now, let Γ be a collection of non-empty compact sets, and $\psi^k : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$,

$$\psi^k(F) = \bigcup_{\mathcal{L}^k} (\psi_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{j_k})(F) = \bigcup_{\mathcal{L}^k} F_{j_1 \dots j_k} .$$

for any positive integer k .

Theorem 1 *There exists a unique set $E \in \Gamma$ such that for all $F \in \Gamma$*

$$\psi^k(F) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} E$$

with respect to the Hausdorff metric d .

◊ If $F, G \in \Gamma$, then, by the definition of d ,

$$d(\psi^k(F), \psi^k(G)) \leq \sup_{\mathcal{L}^k} d(F_{j_1 \dots j_k}, G_{j_1 \dots j_k}) \leq r^k d(F, G) .$$

Take any $F \in \Gamma$ and set $M = \sup_{1 \leq j \leq m} d(F, F_j)$. For any positive integers p and q ($p < q$),

$$d(\psi^p(F), \psi^q(F)) \leq d(\psi^p(F), \psi^p(\bigcup_{\mathcal{L}_{p+1}^q} F_{j_{p+1} \dots j_q})) \leq r^p d(F, \bigcup_{\mathcal{L}_{p+1}^q} F_{j_{p+1} \dots j_q}) \leq$$

$$r^p \sup_{\mathcal{L}_{p+1}^q} d(F, F_{j_{p+1} \dots j_q}) \leq r^p \sup_{\mathcal{L}_{p+1}^q} (d(F, F_{j_{p+1}}) + d(F_{j_{p+1}}, F_{j_{p+1} j_{p+2}}) + \dots +$$

$$d(F_{j_{p+1} \dots j_{q-1}}, F_{j_{p+1} \dots j_q})) \leq r^p (M + rM + r^2 M + \dots + r^{q-p-1} M) < r^p \frac{M}{1-r}.$$

Hence $d(\psi^p(F), \psi^q(F)) \xrightarrow{p \rightarrow \infty} 0$. As Γ endowed with the Hausdorff metric d is a complete metric space, $\psi^k(F)$ converges to a non-empty compact set E .

Since for all k and $G \in \Gamma$

$$d(\psi^k(G), E) \leq d(\psi^k(G), \psi^k(F)) + d(\psi^k(F), E),$$

E is unique. $\diamond$

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the set of finite sequences $\{(j_1, \dots, j_k)\}_{\mathcal{L}^k, k}$. A finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{K}$ is called a tree if for any $(j_1, \dots, j_k) \in \mathcal{K}$ there exists $(i_1, \dots, i_p) \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $j_q = i_q$ for all $q = 1, \dots, \min\{k, p\}$, moreover, if $p \leq k$, then this $(i_1, \dots, i_p) \in \mathcal{P}$ is unique. Note that for any k the set $\mathcal{L}^k$ is tree.

Given a tree $\mathcal{P}$, we set $p = \inf\{k : (j_1, \dots, j_k) \in \mathcal{P}\}$ (the length of the shortest branch of the tree) and $q = \sup\{k : (j_1, \dots, j_k) \in \mathcal{P}\}$ (the length of the longest branch of the tree).

Lemma 1 *For any tree $\mathcal{P}$ and any non-negative numbers $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^m$ we have*

$$\sum_{\mathcal{P}} a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_k} \geq \inf_{p \leq k \leq q} \sum_{\mathcal{L}^k} a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_k},$$

where p is the length of the shortest branch of $\mathcal{P}$, and q is the length of the longest one.

$\diamond$ For any $(j_1, \dots, j_p) \in \mathcal{L}^p$, $\mathcal{P}_{j_1 \dots j_p} = \{(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \mathcal{P} : (j_1, \dots, j_p) = (i_1, \dots, i_p)\}$. If $(l_1, \dots, l_p) \in \mathcal{L}^p$, then

$$\mathcal{P}' = \bigcup_{\mathcal{L}^p} \bigcup_{\mathcal{P}_{l_1 \dots l_p}} (j_1, \dots, j_p, l_{p+1}, \dots, l_k) \quad (1)$$

is a tree. Note that the first p elements of $(j_1, \dots, j_p, l_{p+1}, \dots, l_k)$ run through $\mathcal{L}^p$ and the remaining elements run through the ends of $(l_1, \dots, l_k) \in \mathcal{P}_{l_1 \dots l_p}$.

For any $(j_1, \dots, j_p) \in \mathcal{L}^p$,

$$\mu_{j_1 \dots j_p} = \begin{cases} \sum_{\mathcal{P}_{j_1 \dots j_p}} a_{j_{p+1}} \dots a_{j_k} & \text{if } (j_1, \dots, j_p) \notin \mathcal{P} \\ 1 & \text{if } (j_1, \dots, j_p) \in \mathcal{P} . \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\mathcal{P}} a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_k} = \sum_{\mathcal{L}^p} a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_p} \mu_{j_1 \dots j_p} . \quad (2)$$

Set $\mu = \inf_{\mathcal{L}^p} \mu_{j_1 \dots j_p}$. From (2) it follows that

$$\sum_{\mathcal{P}} a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_k} \geq \sum_{\mathcal{L}^p} a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_k}$$

if $\mu = 1$.

If $\mu < 1$, then there exists $(l_1, \dots, l_p) \notin \mathcal{P}$ such that $\mu = \mu_{l_1 \dots l_p}$. Thus, using (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_k} &\geq \mu_{l_1 \dots l_p} \sum_{\mathcal{L}^p} a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_p} = \sum_{\mathcal{L}^p} a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_p} \sum_{\mathcal{P}_{j_1 \dots j_p}} a_{l_{p+1}} \dots a_{l_k} = \\ &\sum_{\mathcal{P}'} a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_p} a_{l_{p+1}} \dots a_{l_k} . \end{aligned}$$

That follows from (1) that $\mathcal{P}'$ is a tree. Clearly, the length of the shortest branch of $\mathcal{P}'$ is equal to $p' > p$, and the length of the longest branch of $\mathcal{P}'$ is equal to $q' \leq q$.

We proceed in this way until, after a finite number of steps, we reach a tree $\mathcal{L}^k$, where $p \leq k \leq q$. $\diamond$

Lemma 2 *Let $\{V_i\}$ be a collection of disjoint open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that each V_i contains a ball of radius $c_1\rho$ and is contained in a ball of radius $c_2\rho$. Then any ball B of radius ρ intersects, at most, $(1 + 2c_2)^n c_1^{-n}$ of the sets $\bar{V}_i$ (the bar denotes closure).*

$\diamond$ If $\bar{V}_i \cap B \neq \emptyset$, $\bar{V}_i$ is contained in a ball concentric with B and of radius $(1 + 2c_2)\rho$. Let h elements of the collection $\{\bar{V}_i\}$ intersect B , then summing up the volumes of the corresponding interior balls, we get $h(c_1\rho)^n \leq (1 + 2c_2)^n \rho^n$.

$\diamond$

Lemma 3 *There exists a unique number $s \geq 0$ such that*

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\mathcal{L}^k} (r_{j_1} \dots r_{j_k})^t = \begin{cases} \infty, & t < s \\ 0, & t > s \end{cases}.$$

◊ It is clear that $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\mathcal{L}^k} (r_{j_1} \dots r_{j_k})^t$ does not increase as t increases from 0 to ∞ . Furthermore, if $t < t'$, then

$$\inf_{l \geq k} \sum_{\mathcal{L}^l} (r_{j_1} \dots r_{j_l})^t = \inf_{l \geq k} \sum_{\mathcal{L}^l} (r_{j_1} \dots r_{j_l})^{t' + (t - t')} \geq (r^l)^{(t - t')} \inf_{l \geq k} \sum_{\mathcal{L}^l} (r_{j_1} \dots r_{j_l})^{t'},$$

which implies the statement. ◊

We say that the set of similitudes $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^m$ satisfies the open set condition if there exists a bounded open set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\psi_j(V) \subset V \text{ and } \psi_j(V) \cap \psi_i(V) = \emptyset \quad (3)$$

for any $i, j = \overline{1, m}$, $j \neq i$. Thus the sets $\{V_{j_1 \dots j_k}\}_{\mathcal{L}^k, k}$ form a net in the sense that any two of sets from the collection are either disjoint, or one set is included into the other one. The collection $\{V_{j_1 \dots j_k}\}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is disjoint for any tree $\mathcal{P}$.

If (3) holds, then $\{\psi^k(\bar{V})\}_k$ is a decreasing sequence of compact sets, which converges to E with respect to the Hausdorff metric by Theorem 1. It follows from the definition of Hausdorff metric that $E = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi^k(\bar{V})$.

The set E from Theorem 1 is called statistically self-similar, if the open set conditions holds true.

Theorem 2 *The Hausdorff dimension of a statistically self-similar set E is equal to $\dim(E) = s$ (see lemma 3).*

◊ For any $\mathcal{L}^k$ (moreover, for any tree $\mathcal{P}$) the collection $\{\bar{V}_{j_1 \dots j_k}\}_{\mathcal{L}^k}$ is a cover of E . As

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\mathcal{L}^k} |\bar{V}_{j_1 \dots j_k}|^t = |\bar{V}| \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\mathcal{L}^k} (r_{j_1} \dots r_{j_k})^t = \begin{cases} \infty, & t < s \\ 0, & t > s \end{cases}$$

and $|\bar{V}_{j_1 \dots j_k}| \leq r^k |V| \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$, we get $\dim(E) \leq s$.

To prove the opposite inequality we show that, if $t \in [0, s)$, then $\mathcal{H}^t(E) = \infty$. Since E is compact, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sum |U_i|^t = \infty ,$$

where the infimum is taken over all finite δ -covers $\{U_i\}$ of E . Given any δ -cover $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^N$ of E , we can cover E by balls $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^N$ with $|B_i| \leq 2|U_i|$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^N |U_i|^t \geq 2^{-t} \sum_{i=1}^N |B_i|^t . \quad (4)$$

Suppose that an open set V such that (3) holds true contains a ball of radius c_1 and is contained in a ball of radius c_2 . Take any $\rho \in (0, 1)$. For each infinite sequence $(j_1, j_2, \dots)$ with $(j_1, \dots, j_k) \in \mathcal{L}^k$ for all k , curtail the sequence at the least value of k such that

$$(\min_{1 \leq j \leq m} r_j) \rho \leq r_{j_1} \dots r_{j_k} \leq \rho , \quad (5)$$

and let us denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the set of finite sequences obtained in this way. It is clear that $\mathcal{P}$ is a tree.

Each $V_{j_1 \dots j_k}$ contains a ball of radius $c_1 r_{j_1} \dots r_{j_k}$ and hence a ball of radius $c_1 \rho (\min_{1 \leq j \leq m} r_j)$, by (5), and is contained in a ball of radius $c_2 r_{j_1} \dots r_{j_k}$ and therefore of radius $c_2 \rho$. By lemma 2, any ball B of radius ρ intersects, at most, $h = (c_1 + 2c_2)^n (c_1 \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} r_j)^{-n}$ sets of collection $\{\bar{V}_{j_1 \dots j_k}\}_{\mathcal{P}}$. Note that h does not depend on ρ .

Let B be a ball of radius ρ . We denote

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(j_1, \dots, j_k) \in \mathcal{P} : \bar{V}_{j_1 \dots j_k} \cap B \neq \emptyset\} .$$

The set $\mathcal{D}$ contains, at most, h elements. Hence

$$\sum_{\mathcal{D}} (r_{j_1} \dots r_{j_k})^t \leq h \rho^t = h |B|^t ,$$

hence

$$|B|^t \geq h^{-1} \sum_{\mathcal{D}} (r_{j_1} \dots r_{j_k})^t . \quad (6)$$

Suppose that for any ball B_i from (4) we have constructed a tree $\mathcal{P}_i$ and a subset $\mathcal{D}_i \subset \mathcal{P}_i$. It follows from (6) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N |B_i|^t \geq h^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\mathcal{D}_i} (r_{j_1} \dots r_{j_k})^t . \quad (7)$$

Let $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{D}_i$. From the construction of the $\mathcal{S}$ we see that the collection $\{\bar{V}_{j_1 \dots j_k}\}_{\mathcal{S}}$ is a $(2c_2\delta)$ -cover of E . We set

$$\mathcal{P} = \{(j_1, \dots, j_k) \in \mathcal{S} : \text{for any } l < k \ (j_1, \dots, j_l) \notin \mathcal{S}\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{S}$ is a tree, hence the collection $\{\bar{V}_{j_1 \dots j_k}\}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a $(2c_2\delta)$ -cover of E .

It follows from lemma 1 that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\mathcal{D}_i} (r_{j_1} \dots r_{j_k})^t \geq \sum_{\mathcal{P}} (r_{j_1} \dots r_{j_k})^t \geq \inf_{p \leq k \leq q} \sum_{\mathcal{L}^k} (r_{j_1} \dots r_{j_k})^t \quad (8)$$

where p and q are the lengths of the shortest and the longest branches of the tree $\mathcal{P}$, respectively.

Thus by (4), (7), (8),

$$\sum_{i=1}^N |U_i|^t \geq 2^{-t} h^{-1} \inf_{p \leq k \leq q} \sum_{\mathcal{L}^k} (r_{j_1} \dots r_{j_k})^t.$$

As $\rho_i \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we have $p \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from the equality $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\mathcal{L}^k} (r_{j_1} \dots r_{j_k})^t = \infty$, $t < s$, that $\mathcal{H}^t(E) = \infty$. $\diamond$

3 The intersection of Cantor's sets

Let $K_0 = [0, 1]$, $K_1 = [0, \frac{1}{3}] \cup [\frac{2}{3}, 1]$, $K_2 = [0, \frac{1}{9}] \cup [\frac{2}{9}, \frac{1}{3}] \cup [\frac{2}{3}, \frac{7}{9}] \cup [\frac{8}{9}, 1]$, etc., where K_{i+1} is obtained by removing the open middle third of each interval in K_i . Then K_i consists of 2^i intervals, each of length 3^{-i} . Cantor's set is the perfect compact set $K = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} K_i$.

Consider the similitudes of the real line $\psi_1(x) = \frac{x}{3}$, $\psi_2(x) = \frac{x}{3} + \frac{2}{3}$. Since

$$K_i = \bigcup_{j_1 \dots j_i} (\psi_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{j_i})([0, 1]),$$

where the sum is taken over all i -tuples $\{j_1 \dots j_i\}$, the Cantor set is the self-similar set (it is the particular case of a statistically self-similar set). The Hausdorff dimension of the Cantor set K is equal to $\frac{\ln 2}{\ln 3}$.

Given $a \in [0, 1]$, we set $K + a = \{x : x - a \in K\}$, $E_a = K \cap (K + a)$.

Theorem 3 *For almost all $a \in [0, 1]$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure, E_a is a statistically self-similar set and its Hausdorff dimension is equal to $\frac{\ln 2}{3 \ln 3}$.*

◇ The set $S_{a,i} = K_i \cap (K_i + a)$ is a cover of E for any non-negative integer i . It is clear that $\{S_{a,i}\}$ is the decreasing sequence of the sets, and $E_a = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} S_{a,i}$. From definition of δ -parallel body we conclude that $S_{a,i} \subset [S_{a,i+1}]_{3^{-i}}$. Hence

$$d(S_{a,i}, E_a) \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} 0. \quad (9)$$

Let $a = 0, a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots$ be the triadic expansion of $a \in [0, 1]$. We can exclude from the consideration the set of a for which the triadic expansion is ambiguously determined, because the Lebesgue measure of this set, is equal to zero (for these a the set E_a is either finite or similar to Cantor's set). For any $a \in [0, 1]$ we dissect the set $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ to subsets $A(a) = \{a_k \mid \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i = 0 \pmod{2}\}$, $\tilde{A}(a) = \{a_k \mid \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i = 1 \pmod{2}\}$ where $a_0 = 0$. Let $\mathcal{N}_{a,k}$ be a subset of $\{1, 2\}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_{a,k} = \begin{cases} \{1\} & \text{if } a_k \neq 2, a_k \in \tilde{A}(a), \\ \{2\} & \text{if } a_k \neq 0, a_k \in A(a), \\ \{1, 2\} & \text{if } a_k = 2, a_k \in \tilde{A}(a) \text{ or } a_k = 0, a_k \in A(a), \end{cases}$$

for any $a \in [0, 1]$ and any positive integer k .

The similitudes $\psi_1(x) = \frac{x}{3}$, $\psi_2(x) = \frac{x}{3} + \frac{2}{3}$ with ratios $r_1 = r_2 = \frac{1}{3}$ and sets $\mathcal{N}_{a,i}$ generate (see. Section 2) a statistically self-similar set G_a (the open set condition holds for the open interval $(-1, 2)$). As Section 2 we set

$$\mathcal{L}_a^k = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{N}_{a,i}, \quad \psi_a^k(F) = \bigcup_{\mathcal{L}_a^k} (\psi_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{j_k})(F) = \bigcup_{\mathcal{L}_a^k} F_{j_1 \dots j_k},$$

where F is a non-empty compact set. By Theorem 1,

$$d(G_a, \psi_a^k([0, 1])) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0. \quad (10)$$

It follows from the construction that $S_{a,k} \subset \psi_a^k([0, 1])$ and $\psi_a^k([0, 1]) \subset [S_{a,k}]_{3^{-k}}$, hence

$$d(\psi_a^k([0, 1]), S_{a,k}) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0. \quad (11)$$

Thus by (9), (10), (11),

$$d(G_a, E_a) \leq d(G_a, \psi_a^k([0, 1])) + d(\psi_a^k([0, 1]), S_{a,k}) + d(S_{a,k}, E_a) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0 ,$$

so $E_a = G_a$.

To find the Hausdorff dimension of E_a it is sufficient to calculate s_a for which

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\mathcal{L}_a^k} (r_{j_1} \dots r_{j_k})^t = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} 3^{-tk} M_a(k) = \begin{cases} \infty, & t < s_a \\ 0, & t > s_a \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

where $M_a(k)$ is the number of elements of $\mathcal{L}_a^k$.

Let χ_Z be the characteristic function of a set Z . If Z is a non-negative integer, we consider it as a subset of the set of all non-negative integers. By the definition of $\mathcal{L}_a^k$,

$$M_a(k) = 2^{f_a(k)}, \quad \text{where} \quad f_a(k) = \sum_{i=1}^k (\chi_0(a_i) \chi_{A(a)}(a_i) + \chi_2(a_i) \chi_{\tilde{A}(a)}(a_i)) . \quad (13)$$

Clearly, if the triadic expansion of $a \in [0, 1]$ is known, then we can calculate the Hausdorff dimension of E_a by (12) and (13), but for an arbitrary irrational number x we cannot calculate the part of 0, 1 or 2 in the triadic expansion of x . The only known fact is that, for almost all $x \in [0, 1]$ (with respect to the Lebesgue measure) the part of 0, 1 and 2 in the triadic expansion of x is equal to $\frac{1}{3}$.

Let $\Omega = \{\omega = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots)\}$ be the set of sequences of independent random variates, where a_i takes values 0, 1, 2 with probability $\frac{1}{3}$. We define a mapping $a : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $a = a(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{3^k}$, then ω is the triadic expansion of a . The probability P on Ω generates the measure $a_{\#}P$ on the $[0, 1]$ by $a_{\#}P(B) = P(a^{-1}(B))$, where $B \subset [0, 1]$. The measure $a_{\#}P$ coincides with the Lebesgue measure "mes" (see [1]).

Let $\gamma : \Omega \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}$ be the mapping defined by $\gamma(\omega) = \hat{\omega} = (\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2, \hat{a}_3, \dots)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_k &= 0 & \text{if } a_k &= 0, a_k \in A(a); \\ \hat{a}_k &= 1 & \text{if } a_k &= 1, a_k \in A(a); \\ \hat{a}_k &= 2 & \text{if } a_k &= 2, a_k \in A(a); \\ \hat{a}_k &= 3 & \text{if } a_k &= 0, a_k \in \tilde{A}(a); \\ \hat{a}_k &= 4 & \text{if } a_k &= 1, a_k \in \tilde{A}(a); \\ \hat{a}_k &= 5 & \text{if } a_k &= 2, a_k \in \tilde{A}(a) . \end{aligned}$$

The probability P on Ω generates the probability $\hat{P} = \gamma_{\#}P$ on $\hat{\Omega}$. The sequence of random variates $\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2, \hat{a}_3, \dots$ forms a homogeneous Markov chain with the starting distribution $p = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, 0)$ and the matrix of transition probabilities

$$\|p_{i,j}\| = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows from the strong law of large numbers that

$$P \left\{ \omega : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \chi_0(a_i) = \frac{1}{3} \right\} = 1.$$

Hence

$$\hat{P} \left\{ \hat{\omega} : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k (\chi_0(\hat{a}_i) + \chi_3(\hat{a}_i)) = \frac{1}{3} \right\} = 1. \quad (14)$$

Since the starting distribution and the matrix of transition probability do not fluctuate if we interchange the random variates $a_k = 3$ and $a_k = 5$, and the set in the braces in (14) depends only on the starting distribution and the matrix of transition probability, we have

$$\hat{P} \left\{ \hat{\omega} : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k (\chi_0(\hat{a}_i) + \chi_5(\hat{a}_i)) = \frac{1}{3} \right\} = 1.$$

It follows from $\chi_0(\hat{a}_i) = \chi_0(a_i)\chi_{A(a)}(a_i)$ and $\chi_5(\hat{a}_i) = \chi_2(a_i)\chi_{\tilde{A}(a)}(a_i)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mes} \left\{ a \in [0, 1] : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_a(k)}{k} = \frac{1}{3} \right\} &= \\ a_{\#}P \left\{ a \in [0, 1] : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k (\chi_0(a_i)\chi_{A(a)}(a_i) + \chi_2(a_i)\chi_{\tilde{A}(a)}(a_i)) = \frac{1}{3} \right\} &= \\ P \left\{ \omega : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k (\chi_0(a_i)\chi_{A(a)}(a_i) + \chi_2(a_i)\chi_{\tilde{A}(a)}(a_i)) = \frac{1}{3} \right\} &= \\ \hat{P} \left\{ \hat{\omega} : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k (\chi_0(\hat{a}_i) + \chi_5(\hat{a}_i)) = \frac{1}{3} \right\} &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using (12), except for a set of $a \in [0, 1]$ of the Lebesgue measure 0,

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\mathcal{L}_a^k} (r_{j_1} \dots r_{j_k})^t = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} (2^{\frac{f_a(k)}{k}} 3^{-t})^k = \begin{cases} \infty, & t < s_a = \frac{\ln 2}{3 \ln 3} \\ 0, & t > s_a = \frac{\ln 2}{3 \ln 3} . \end{cases}$$

It follows that for almost all $a \in [0, 1]$,

$$\dim(E_a) = \frac{\ln 2}{3 \ln 3} . \quad \diamond$$

It follows from Theorem 3 that Mandelbrot's conjecture about the intersection of fractal sets does not hold for the intersection of Cantor sets. According to the conjecture the codimension of the intersection must be almost sure equal to the sum of the codimensions, i.e. be equal to $(\frac{\ln 4}{\ln 3} - 1)$ in our case.

References

- [1] Borovkov A.A. *Probability theor.* – M.: Science, 1986. – 431 P. (Russian).
- [2] Falconer K.J. *The Geometry of Fractal Sets* / Cambridge University Press. – 1985. – 162 P.
- [3] Hutchinson J.E. *Fractals and self-similarity* // Indiana University Mathematics Journal. – 1981. – 30, 713-47.
- [4] Mandelbrot B.B. *The Fractal Geometry of Nature.* / New York: W.H. Freeman and Company. – 1977. – 468 P.

Supported by grant of RFFI N 00-01-00308.